

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/806,568	MORIKAWA ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643

All Participants:

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas J. Siepmann, Ph.D..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2006

Time: 12:40 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5-11, 13-15, 17 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The participants discussed claim language that would put the claims in condition for allowance. Applicants' representative, Dr. Siepmann granted the Examiner permission to cancel withdrawn claims.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)